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## Intifoduction

- IP over WDM network
- Optical-layer protection can provide fast recovery and high scalability
- Higher-layer recovery provide finer granularity of recovery
- Routing and wavelength assignment (RWA) in WDM network contains
- Route establishment
- Wavelength assignment


## Problem formulation

- IP over WDM network is modeled as
- A graph $G(V, E, W)$ where
- $V$ : set of all nodes
- E: set of optical links
- W: set of wavelengths per link
- Given a request $R(s, d, b)$ from ingress router $s$ to egress router $d$ requiring bandwidth $b$
- Find a path and the wavelengths on the links along the path to meet the request requirement


## Categories of solutions

- RWA problems can be solved in either
- Two-stage: wavelength assignment after path routing
- Joint RWA: consider both in the same time
, Routing problems can be divided into
- Active/single path routing
- Backup path routing
- Disjoint paths routing
, Three generic approaches
- Integer Linear Programming (ILP) optimization
- Link-weight based Dijkstra's algorithm
- Flooding based path searching


## Acrive/single path routing

- Focus on maximizing network efficiency: to accommodate as many requests as possible - IWG [1]
- MOCA [2]: based on MIRA
-BI [3]
- MCPS [4]


## IWG

- IWG: IP over WDM Grooming
- Routing is based on cost function
- Path_Cost $=N$ links $+P *$ N_FA-LSP_Links + Q* N hops, where
- Nlinks is the number of new optical links
- N_FA-LSP _Links: number of optical links already active
- $N$ hops: number of O/E/O conversions
- Pand Q: coefficients to adjust performance $\mathrm{P}<1$ implies fostering reuse of established path Q>1 means paths with many O/E/O will be avoided


## MOCA

- MOCA: Maximum Open Capacity Routing Algorithm
- Idea:
- Model IP/WDM network with logical links
- Find the shortest path in a modified network where the link weights are proportional to the criticality of the links
- Define all links that belong to the minimum cut for an ingress-egress pair to be critical


## MOCA network model
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After request


## BI

- PI: Blocking Island paradigm
- Routing is based on
- Construction of BI hierarchy
- Route existence check
- K different weighted shortest routes using path cost function
- Path_Cost = N_links + P * Active_Lightpaths
- Pick the route with minimum splitting cost
- MCPS: Multiple Constraints Path Selection - Idea:
- Each node maintains local network state info. - Routing is based on path information update by flooding
- Select the best path at destination according to networks' operational criteria


## Backup path routing

- Active path is assumed to be established filist based on Dijkstra's algorithm
, Focus on minimizing reserved bandwidth for all backup paths
-SPR (Shortest Path Restoration)
-PIR [6]
- FIR [5]: enhance PIR
- DLB [7]


## Backup path routing

- PiR: Partial Information Restoration
- Idea;: weight each link using an estimate of additional bandwidth that needs to be reserved if a particular restoration path is selected
- After service path Ps is selected, the source node calculate maximum service bandwidth Mover all links along the service path
- Assign a weight to each link in the network



## Backup path routing

- FIR: Full Information Restoration
- Idea: after selecting service path PS, the source node collects the array T[ifl, the maximum bandwidth needed on link jif any of the links along Ps fails
- Assign a weight to each link in the network
$w[i]= \begin{cases}\min (b, T[i]+b-R[i]) \cdot W[i] & \text { if } T[i]+b-R[i]>0 \\ \text { and } i \notin P s \\ \varepsilon & \text { if } T[i]+b-R[i] \leq 0 \\ \text { and } i \notin P s \\ \text { if } i \in P s .\end{cases}$


## Backup path routing

- DLB: Decentralized Local Backup LSP calculation
- Given the primary path $\mathrm{P}=\left\{N_{x o}, N_{x y}, \ldots, N_{x,}\right\}$
- For each node along the primary path, each link is assigned a cost $K_{i j}$
if we protect against node failure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{i j}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Inc}_{i j}(F, b w) & \text { if } i \neq F \wedge j \neq F \\
\varepsilon & \wedge \text { Inc } c_{i j}(F, b w) \neq 0 \\
& \text { if } i \neq F \wedge j \neq F \\
& \wedge \text { Inc } c_{i j}(F, b w)=0 \\
\infty & \text { if } i=F \vee j=F\end{cases} \\
& K_{i j}= \begin{cases}\text { Incij }_{i j}(F, b w) & \text { if } i \neq F \wedge j \neq F \\
& \wedge \text { Inc }_{i j}(F, b w) \neq 0 \\
\varepsilon & \text { if } i \neq F \wedge j \neq F \\
& \wedge \operatorname{Inc}_{i j}(F, b w)=0 \\
\infty & \text { if } i=F \vee j=F\end{cases} \\
& K_{i j}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Inc}_{i j}(F, b w) & \text { if } i \neq F \wedge j \neq F \\
\varepsilon & \wedge \text { Inc } c_{i j}(F, b w) \neq 0 \\
& \text { if } i \neq F \wedge j \neq F \\
& \wedge \text { Inc } c_{i j}(F, b w)=0 \\
\infty & \text { if } i=F \vee j=F\end{cases} \\
& K_{i j}= \begin{cases}\text { Incij }_{i j}(F, b w) & \text { if } i \neq F \wedge j \neq F \\
& \wedge \text { Inc }_{i j}(F, b w) \neq 0 \\
\varepsilon & \text { if } i \neq F \wedge j \neq F \\
& \wedge \operatorname{Inc}_{i j}(F, b w)=0 \\
\infty & \text { if } i=F \vee j=F\end{cases} \\
& K_{i j}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Inc}_{i j}(F, b w) & \text { if } i \neq F \wedge j \neq F \\
\varepsilon & \wedge \text { Inc } c_{i j}(F, b w) \neq 0 \\
& \text { if } i \neq F \wedge j \neq F \\
& \wedge \text { Inc } c_{i j}(F, b w)=0 \\
\infty & \text { if } i=F \vee j=F\end{cases} \\
& K_{i j}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Inc}_{i j}(F, b w) & \text { if }\left(i \neq N_{x_{k}} \vee j \neq F\right. \\
& \left.\wedge \operatorname{Inc} c_{i j} F, b w\right) \neq 0 \\
\varepsilon & \text { if }\left(i \neq N_{x_{k}} \vee j \neq F\right. \\
& \wedge \operatorname{Inc} c_{i j}(F, b w)=0 \\
\infty & \text { if } i=N_{x_{k}} \wedge j=F\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

- if we protect against link failure
- Inc $C_{i j}(F, b w)=R_{i j}{ }^{\prime}-R_{i j j}$ increased reserved bandwidth


## Trap problem

- Active path first (APF) heuristic may lead to the so-called "trap problem"
- Fail to find link-disjoint paths when such a pair exists



## Disjoint path routing

, Find link/node-disjoint paths

- SPP [8]: min-weight disjoint path
- MIRR [9]: based on MIRA
- APFE [10]: enhanced APF
-COLE [11]
- MIIRR: Minimum Interference Restorable Routing algorithm
- Idea
- Compute the maximum 2-route flows
- Compute the 2 -critical link sets $C_{s d}$
- Compute the criticality indices $w(I)$ as link weight of link /
- Use SPP to find shortest disjoint paths based on link weight w(I)
- Choose one as active path, the other as backup path


## APFE

, APFE: enhanced Active Path First
, Ideas

- Find AP (Active Path) using minimum number of links
- Assign a cost of infinity for every active or reserved channel, assign a cost of $M$ (very big number) for every free channel on a link of AP, assign a cost of 1 for every other free channel
- Find a minimum cost BP (Backup Path)
- If AP and BP are not link-disjoint, use the BP as active path and repeat until AP and BP are link disjoint


## COLE

, COLE: Confiflicting Link Exclusion

- Idea
- Minimize the cost of AP (Active Path)
- Find the shortest AP in the network
- Identify the conflicting link set T
- Divide the problem P into sub-problems in the form of $P(I, O)$ based on $T$; the sub-problem without linkdisjoint path pairs is further divided
- Compare link-disjoint path pairs found in each subproblem, choose the one with shortest AP


## Discuission

| Problems | Single cost | Dual cost |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min-Max | NPC [9], [10] | NPC [9], [10] |  |  |  |
| Min-Min | NPC | NPC |  |  |  |
| Min-Sum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Polynomial } \\ & \text { (SPP [4], [5]) } \end{aligned}$ |  | red (MSO |  | Arbitrary |
|  |  | Uniform | OD-U) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { uniform } \end{gathered}$ | NPC [8] |
|  |  | Directed | NPC [7] | NPC [6] |  |
|  |  | Undirected | NPC |  |  |

, Active/single path routing considers WDM wavelengths and logical network thus better utilize network resource, but doesn't consider backup path simultaneously

- Backup path routing after active path minimizes reserved bandwidth but may encounter trap problem
- Disjoint path routing considers optimized disjoint path for restoration, but doesn't consider WDM layer multiwavelength effect


## Discussion

Design goal of IP/WDM routing

- WDM physical path disjointness
- With minimum interference to maximize the acceptable requests
- Consider both dedicated and shared bandwidth among all backup paths
Bandwidth sharing may not be allowed
- Link-state information for backup paths not available
- $1+1$ redundancy
- After failure is fixed, traffic will not switched back to the primary path
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