### Keyword Fusion to Support Efficient Keyword-based Search in Peer-to-Peer File Sharing

#### Presented by Chi-Hong Chao



- Introduction
- Keyword search in DHT-based P2P systems
- Keyword Fusion architecture
- Performance evaluation
- Conclusion and Discussion



### Centralized P2P System Nanctor

- Napster
- Decentralized P2P System
  - Unstructured P2P System (Gnutella)
    - Flooding
    - Bandwidth consumption
  - Structured P2P System (Chord, CAN,...)
    - DHT
    - Not support keyword search

### Keyword search in DHT-based P2P systems – extended Chord



## Keyword search in DHT-based P2P systems –extended Chord

- Chained query processing
  - If N2 wants to find files containing both "Tree" and "Mountain", N2 can send out a query message to N3 which is responsible for Tree.
  - N3 then sends the intermediate result set {a.jpg b.jpg d.jpg e.jpg} to N1, where the file list of Mountain is stored.
  - By intersecting the intermediate results from N3 with the file list for **Mountain**, N1 will generate the final result, {a.jpg b.jpg}

# Keyword search in DHT-based P2P systems

- The chained query processing is conceptually simple, but there is a few drawback. (Commonly keywords)
  - Storage consumption is highly skewed among peers.
  - The common keyword will generate huge volume of network traffic.

### Keyword Fusion architecture - Preliminaries

- Definition
  - h(k): the hosting DHT node which stores the mapping for keyword k.
  - K(f): the set of keywords associated with file f.
  - F(k): the set of files which contains keyword k.
- Keywords in the query are AND-ed.

### **Keyword Fusion architecture** - Fusion Dictionary

- The common keywords are the cause of the two main problems (storage and network traffic).
- When searching for files that contain multiple keywords, it's advantageous to search for the most specific keyword first.

### Keyword Fusion architecture - Fusion Dictionary

- Fusion Dictionary is a distributed data structure that contains common keywords.
- When a DHT node determines that is storage consumption is excessive, it identifies common keywords from its list and registers them to Fusion Dictionary.
- After this registration it removes the mapping information for the common keyword from its storage.

### Keyword Fusion architecture - partial keyword list

- As the mappings for common keywords are removed from the hosting nodes, it's required to have a mechanism to handle queries containing such deleted keywords.
- For each file *f* in the mapping <*k*, F(*k*)>, we create and store a partial keyword set PK(*f*)=K(*f*) ∩ FD.

### Keyword Fusion architecture - Fusion Dictionary & partial keyword list

- When a node issues a search query, it first consults Fusion Dictionary to select the keywords which are not in the dictionary, then access their hosting nodes in a chain for query processing.
- With partial keyword lists added to file list, the common keywords in the query can be processed at any of those nodes.
- This will make query processing more efficient by omitting the nodes hosting common keywords and avoiding transferring large intermediate results.

### **Keyword Fusion architecture** - Fusion Dictionary & partial keyword list

- Since the partial keyword list PK(f) is determined by the current Fusion Dictionary, it's also generated and maintained dynamically.
- When a keyword k is added into Fusion Dictionary, the node h(k) just removes all the entries in F(k) and propagates the dictionary update to other nodes.

## - Fusion Dictionary & partial keyword list

- When a node receives a dictionary update that k is added into the Fusion Dictionary, it first checks its local database.
- If this node has published a file f which contains k as one of its keywords, it re-publishes the same file f into the network by sending it to the nodes hosting keywords other than k in K(f).

### **Keyword Fusion architecture** - Fusion Dictionary & partial keyword list

- In order to minimize the lookup overhead, the content of Fusion Dictionary is replicated and propagated across DHT nodes.
- Managing Fusion Dictionary and partial keyword lists is a fully decentralized operation.
- The Fusion Dictionary updates can be included in these periodically topology maintaining messages.
- The query messages can also be used to piggyback the dictionary updates. Since these query messages are traveling around the whole network, it will greatly accelerate the propagation progress.

- There is a file that associated with multiple keywords a and b, we can safely remove this file's information from node h(a) as long as the entry for keyword b is maintained because the file is still searchable using the remaing keyword b.
- Now what happens when h(b) decides that keyword b is generic and must be removed from its hosting DHT node? Such situations are handled by Keyword Fusion.

- Combine
  - K={K<sub>1</sub>,K<sub>2</sub>,...,K<sub>n</sub>}
  - Combine(K)=K'="K<sub>1</sub>&K<sub>2</sub>&...K<sub>n</sub>"
  - Example:
    - Combine(Tree,River) generate a new keyword "Tree&River".
  - We call the new keywords to be synthetic keywords to distinguish them from the original keywords.

- Assume Fusion Dictionary contains keywords, *a*<sub>1</sub>,*a*<sub>2</sub>,...,*a*<sub>m</sub>. Now suppose a keyword *b* is added into the Fusion Dictionary from its hosting node h(b).
- New keywords are generated by combining b with all the keywords in the Fusion Dictionary and the new synthetic keywords are inserted into P2P network using consistent hashing along with their mapping.

- More precisely, Keyword Fusion ensures that all the keywords in the Fusion Dictionary that are combined in a pair-wise manner do exist in DHT.
- Example:
  - Fusion Dictionary={a,b,c}
  - Keyword Fusion guarantees that synthetic keywords a&b, b&c, a&c exist in the DHT.

### **Performance Evaluation**

- Data set A:
  - 1,000 images annotated with relevant keywords
- Data set B:
  - 40,000 images
  - More than 38,000 of these files are annotated with 4 keywords selected from 6,510 unique keywords.

#### Performance Evaluation (data set A)

Keyword Count Distribution



### Performance Evaluation (data set a)



#### Performance Evaluation (data set b)

#### Keyword Popularity Distribution



Top 5% most frequent keywords appear 124,534 times, out of the total 161,051 keyword occurrence.

#### Performance Evaluation (data set a)



#### Performance Evaluation (data set b)



### **Conclusion and Discussion**

- Keyword Fusion can reduce the search traffic by up to 68%.
- Keyword Fusion also can effectively unburden overloaded peers and distribute the file storage load across the entire DHT network.
- But how to analyze a file into multiple keywords is still a big problem now!