Scalable Ad Hoc Routing in Large, Dense Wireless Networks Using Clustering and Landmarks

Xiaoyan Hong, Mario Gerla, Yunjung Yi, Kaixin Xu and Taek Jin Kwon

ICC 2002

## Outline

- Introduction
- Dense networks and passive clustering
- Large networks and landmark aggregated routing
- Performance evaluation
- Summary

### Introduction

On demand routing scheme vs. Proactive routing scheme
When to compute route?
Route access latency?
QoS guarantee?

 $\Box$  Scalability?

## Introduction (cont.)

### Proactive routing scheme

In dense ad hoc networks

- control packet be broadcast to the entire network via flooding
- all the neighbors will receive and in turn forward the message
- this forwarding is often "superfluous"
- □ In large scale environment
  - Iarge routing table
  - high control traffic overhead

# Dense networks and passive clustering

- Partition the network into clusters
- Clusterhead is elected in each cluster
- Each cluster member is within radio reach of clusterhead
- Two clusterheads cannot hear each other
- Nodes being members of two or more clusters are called gateways

# Dense networks and passive clustering (cont.)

- Passive clustering [17] differs from traditional clustering schemes in that it does not use dedicated, protocol specific control packets or signals.
- It opportunistically exploits the neighborhood information carried in the MAC layer.

# Dense networks and passive clustering (cont.)

- The passive clustering protocol can dynamically reconfigure clusters in the face of mobility and topology changes.
- Only gateways and clusterheads act as broadcast forwarders.

# Large networks and landmark aggregated routing

- Landmark Ad Hoc Routing (LANMAR) [8][9]
  - LANMAR is designed for ad hoc network that exhibit group mobility.
  - We can identify logical subnets in which the members have a commonality of interests and are likely to move as "group".
  - Each group has a landmark, and LANMAR uses the landmarks to keep track of such logical groups.

# Large networks and landmark aggregated routing (cont.)



LANMAR can works with a local scope routing scheme

## Related routing algorithms

- Destination-Sequences Distance Vector (DSDV)[10]
  - DSDV has the advantage of much smaller size of routing entry for each destination than link state protocols.

#### Optimized Link State Routing Protocol (OLSR)[15]

- Using multi-point relays to reduce the number of "superfluous" broadcast packet retransmissions and also to reduce the size of link state update packets.
- □ OLSR has the advantage of good performance in dense network.
- Fisheye State Routing (FSR)[5][6]
  - □ Link state packets are not flooded.
  - Instead, nodes maintain a link state table base on the up-to-date information received from neighboring nodes.

### Performance evaluation

### Many evaluation metrics are used:

#### Control overhead

The total bytes of routing control packets transmitted by a node, averaging over all the nodes.

#### Packet overhead

- The number of routing control packets transmitted by a node, averaging over all the nodes.
- Packet delivery fraction
  - The ratio between the number of data packets received and those originated by the source

## Performance evaluation (cont.)

- Many evaluation metrics are used:
  - Average end-to-end packet delay
    - The time from when the source generates the data packet to when the destination receives it.

### □ Throughput

The actual throughput achieved at destinations.

### Intervals for routing updates

DSDV	DV Broadcast:1.5S
FSR	Intra: 0.7S Inter: 2.2S
OLSR	Hello: 0.9S TC: 2.2S
LANMAR	LMDV (all variants): 0.7S

## Control overhead with increasing density



## Packet overhead with increasing density



## Delivery fraction with increasing density



### Throughput with increasing load



## Delivery fraction with increasing load



### **Delivery fraction**



### **Control overhead**



# Delivery fraction when network grows



### Delay when network grows



## Packet overhead when network grows



### Table sizes when network grows



## Summary

- Using passive clustering can reduce routing overhead caused by high nodal density.
- LANMAR-DSDV reduce the size of control packets required for local accurate routing.
- LANMAR-OLSR reduces the control overhead by only selecting a subset of neighbors for topology construction.