## Routing Protocol for Ad Hoc Mobile Wireless Networks

Po Yu Chen 2002\_01\_29



## **Unline**

Introduction
 Table driven routing protocol
 On-demand routing protocol
 Conclusion

## Routing Protocol for Ad Hoc Mobile Wireless Networks

#### Introduction

#### Introduction

Ad hoc routing protocol can be divided into
 Table driven routing protocol

- -Proactive
- Continuously evaluate the routes
- Attempt to maintain consistent, up-to-date routing information

### Introduction

#### On demand routing protocol

- -Reactive
- Create routes only when it is desired by the source node

-Longer delay

## Routing Protocol for Ad Hoc Mobile Wireless Networks

#### **Table-Driven Routing Protocol**

### Table Driven Routing Protocol

Each node maintains one or more tables containing routing information to every other node in the network.

The following pages will introduce

DSDVWRPCGSR

## DSDV (Destination Sequence Distance Vector)

Base on the idea of the distance vector routing algorithm

Periodical broadcast (update)

- Each node keeps a routing table to all other nodes
  - Base on next-hop routing

#### DSDV - the concept of routing table

The routing table contains:
All available destinations
Next hop node
Metric (the # of hops to reach the destination)
Sequence number (assigned by the destination node)

#### DSDV — Routing advertisement and update

- Each node broadcast its routing table
- Routes with more recent seq. no. are always preferred
- Of paths with the same seq. no., those with smaller metric are preferred
- Bi-directional links

#### DSDV – Routing update

⇒ Full dump

Sends the full routing table to the neighbors

#### Incremental

only carries info. changed since the last full dump
Must be fit into a packet





Figure 1: Movement in an ad-hoc network

#### DSDV

Destination	NextHop	Metric	Sequence number	Install	Stable_data	
$MH_1$	$MH_2$	2	$S406_M H_1$	$T001_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_1$	
$MH_2$	$M H_2$	1	$S128_M H_2$	$T001_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_2$	
$MH_3$	$MH_2$	2	$S564_MH_3$	$T001_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_3$	
$MH_4$	$M H_4$	0	$S710_M H_4$	$T001_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_4$	
$MH_{5}$	$M H_6$	2	$S392_M H_5$	$T002_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_5$	
$MH_6$	$M H_6$	1	$S076_MH_6$	$T001_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_6$	
$MH_{7}$	$MH_6$	2	$S128_M H_7$	$T002_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_7$	
$MH_8$	$MH_6$	3	$S050_M H_8$	$T002_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_8$	

Table 1: Structure of the  $MH_4$  forwarding table

#### DSDV

----

Destination	NextHop	Metric	Sequence number	Install	Stable_data	
$MH_1$	MH <sub>6</sub>	3	S516_M H <sub>1</sub>	<b>T810_</b> <i>MH</i> <sub>4</sub>	$Ptr1_MH_1$	
$MH_2$	$MH_2$	1	$S238_MH_2$	$T001\_MH_4$	$Ptrl_MH_2$	
$MH_3$	$MH_2$	2	$S674_MH_3$	$T001_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_3$	
$MH_4$	$M H_4$	0	$S820_MH_4$	$T001_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_4$	
$MH_5$	$MH_6$	2	$S502_MH_5$	$T002_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_5$	
$MH_6$	$MH_6$	1	$S186_MH_6$	$T001_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_6$	
$MH_7$	$MH_6$	2	$S238_MH_7$	$T002_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_7$	
$M H_8$	$MH_6$	3	$S160_MH_8$	$T002_MH_4$	$Ptr1_MH_8$	

Table 3:  $MH_4$  forwarding table (updated)

#### WRP (Wireless Routing Protocol)

A table-based distance-vector routing protocol
 Each node maintains

 Distance table
 Routing table
 Link-cost table

- Message Retransmission List (MRL)
  - Let a node know which of its neighbor has not acknowledged its update message

#### WRP (Wireless Routing Protocol)

- ⇒ The main difference
  - It checks the consistency of all its neighbor every time it detects a change in link of any of its neighbors
     Using the MRL to check the consistency of all its neighbors
- Consistency check has fast convergence

- The mobile node are aggregated into into clusters and a cluster-head is elected
- A gateway node is in the communication range of two or more cluster-heads
- Each node maintains a cluster member table and routing table

Also based on the DSDV algorithm



Data forwarding steps
from cluster head to cluster head
then from cluster head to cluster members
between two cluster heads, gateways are used to forward the packets
EX: No.1 to No.8

Cluster-head change occurs only if a change in the network causes two cluster-head come into one one cluster or one of the nodes moves out of all the cluster-heads Advantage Less information to be kept Local change will not affect the overall routing table Disadvantage Longer route

2002/01/29

## Routing Protocol for Ad Hoc Mobile Wireless Networks

#### **On-demand Routing Protocol**

#### **On-demand routing protocol**

All up-to-date routes are not maintained at every node, instead the routes are created as and when needed

The following pages will introduce

ADOV
DSR
TORA
ABR

2002/01/29

# ADDV (Ad ince On-demand Distance Vector Routing)

- Creating routes on-demand as opposed to DSDV
- To find a path to the destination
   The source broadcast a route request packet
   The neighbor rebroadcast it
   ADOV uses only symmetric links

## ADDV (Ad ince On-demand Distance Vector Routing)



(a) Propogation of Route Request (RREQ) Packet

## ADDV (Ad ince On-demand Distance Vector Routing)



Figure 4. Route discovery in AODV

- Each host maintains a route cache which contains all routes it has learnt.
- ⇒ Source Routing:
  - routes are denoted with complete information
- ⇒ Two major part
  - route discovery
  - route maintenance

#### Contermine Conterm

■ There is a "route record" field in the packet.

- The source node will add its address to the record.
- On receipt of the packet, a host will add its address to the "route record" and rebroadcast the packet
- A ROUTE\_REPLY packet is generated when
   the route request packet reaches the destination
   an intermediate host has an unexpired route to the destination



(a) Building Record Route during Route Discovery



(b) Propogation of Route Reply with the Route Record

When the data link layer encounters a link breakage, a ROUTE\_ERROR packet will be initiated.

- The packet will traverse in the backward direction to the source.
- The source will then initiate another ROUTE\_REQUEST.

⇒ Maintenance of route cache:

All routes which contain the breakage hop have to be removed from the route cache.



- It finds multiple routes from a source node to a destination node
- Like water flowing, it goes from upstream to downstream.

for highly dynamic mobile networks
 The control messages are localized to a very small set of nodes near the occurrence of a topological change



2002/01/29

# TORA (Temporally Ordered Routing Protocol) – Main idea

- Regard the network as a directed graph.
- For each destination, a DAG (directed acyclic graph) will be maintained.
  - Note: There are n copies of DAG's, each associated with one destination, where n is the number of hosts.
  - In the following discussion, we only discuss one DAG associated with a destination.
- The DAG is accomplished by assigning each node *i* a height metric h<sub>i</sub>.

• A link from *i* to *j* means  $h_i > h_j$ .

- A node will update its height to adapt to the change of network topology.
- $\square$  Height  $h_i$  = (value<sub>i</sub>, ID<sub>i</sub>)

a node will change its value to change the direction of a link

- $\Rightarrow$  Relation:  $h_i > h_i$  if the following is true:
  - 1. value<sub>i</sub> > value<sub>i</sub>
  - 2.  $(value_i = value_i)$  and  $(ID_i > ID_i)$
  - $\blacksquare Ex: (5, 4) > (4, 6) \rightarrow value=5 > value=4$
  - Ex:  $(5, 4) > (5, 2) \rightarrow ID=4 > ID=2$

⇒Three basic functions: route creation route maintenance □ route erasure ⇒Three control packets: □ query (QRY) □ update (UPD) □ clear (CLR)



(a) Propogation of QRY message through the network



# ABR (Associativity Based Routing )

⇒ ABR considers the stability of a link.

- A new metric for routing known as the degree of association stability
- Basic Idea:
  - Each node periodically generates a beacon to signify its existence.
  - On receipt of the beacon, a neighboring node will increase the "tick" of the sender by 1.

- Tick  $~\uparrow~$  , low mobility of the node

When a link becomes broken, the node will set the tick of the other node to 0.

# ABR (Associativity Based Routing )

#### Route Discovery:

- □ (similar to DSR)
  - On needing a route, a host will broadcast a ROUTE\_REQUEST packet.
  - Each receiving host will append its address to the packet.
- The tick is also appended in the ROUTE\_REQUEST packet.
- The destination node will select the best route, and then respond a packet to the source.
  - Ticks  $\uparrow$  , the path is better
  - If ticks are equal, the minimum # of hops is better

### Conclusion

Table driven routing protocols Maintain the routing table periodically Will generate more traffic for exchanging information Can response network topology as soon as fast On demand routing protocols Maintain the routing table on demand Can't response network topology fast

#### Conclusion



2002/01/29