Peer-to-Peer Group Communication

J. L. Chiang April 29, 2004

Outline

- Introduction
- Group communication
- Related works
- The proposed system
- Comparison
- Discussion
- Existing products

Introduction

- P2P applications beyond file sharing are still rare due to the lack of P2P enabling technologies.
- Specially designed middleware would release the advantages of P2P networks and help on the development and quality of P2P applications.

Introduction

 This paper proposed a self-organizing publish/subscribe middleware for group communication in dynamic P2P networks.

• A group comprises peers with a specific common interest within the network.

P2P Topology vs. Group Communication

- ex.
 - There may be a group participating in a videoconference, while another logically independent group is interested in chatting with each other. They share the P2P network.
 - Each node forwards all messages, but listens to only the messages belonging to its group.

P2P Topology vs. Group Communication

- P2P topology is not an ideal choice for group communication:
 - First, latency is usually high because messages have to traverse a large number of peers that also may not be members of the group to which the message belongs.
 - Second, performance is degraded by slow peers on the route.
 - Third, the bandwidth of each peer is partially consumed by traffic caused by other peers.

Challenges

- Shared environment
- Scalability
- Dynamic network
- Dynamic node characteristics
- Network heterogeneity
- Quality of service

Related Works

- Scribe/Pastry [Microsoft Research]
 - SCRIBE is a generic, scalable and efficient group communication and event notification system. It provides application level multicast and anycast. Scribe is efficient, self-organizing, flexible, highly scalable and supports highly dynamic groups.
- JXTA [Sun Microsystems]
 - JXTA[™] technology is a set of open protocols that allow any connected device on the network ranging from cell phones and wireless PDAs to PCs and servers to communicate and collaborate in a P2P manner.

Scribe

- Pastry
- Topic-centric
- Publish/subscribe
- Multicast tree





JXTA

- Rendezvous peer
- Relay peer
- Resource advertisement
- Propagate pipe



The P2P Messaging System

- Group-based overlays
- Multi-ring topology
- Backup link
- Implicit routing
- Node swapping



Figure 1. Peer-to-peer and peer group layers.

Multi-Ring Topology



Figure 24. Outer and Inner Ring



Figure 26. Ring with Backup Links

Why Rings?

- The work load of any node is independent of the total number of nodes.
- A node depends only on its neighbors.
- Rings can be made robust easily because of their manageability.



Figure 23. Tree topology: can utilize individual node bandwidth capabilities

Implicit Dynamic Routing

- Dual mode links
 - Primary links allow immediate sending of messages.
 - Secondary links must first announce the availability of messages. Only if the receiving side needs the announced message are they actually sent.

Quality of Service

- QoS parameters
 - Priority
 - Preservation priority
 - Expiration time

Bits	Field	Description	
07	Message type	Identifies the message	
811	Priority	QoS message priority	
1215	Preservation priority	QoS message preservation priority — determines messages to be dropped if queues are full	
1623	Options	Bits 0,1: Forwarding mode Bit 2: Extended length Bit 3: Sender ID and sequence Bit 4: Extended headers fields Bits 5–7: Future use	
2439	Length	Length of message including headers and application data	

Table 1. Header field descriptions.

Node Swapping



Comparison

	P2P Messaging System	Pastry/Scribe	JXTA propagate pipe
Group formation	Topic subscription	Topic subscription	Peer group membership, propagate pipe
Node roles	Peers	Peers	Peers, rendezvous peers
Topology	Multi-ring	Tree built on Peer-to-Peer network	Peer-to-peer with dedicated rendezvous peers
Scalability increasing measures	Topology, implicit routing, separation of groups	Topology, routing	Routing, separation of peer groups
Quality of service parameters	Priority, preservation priority, expiration time, delivery mode	None	None
Message filters	Yes	No	No
Multicast messages traverse only nodes in the group	Yes	No	No
Dynamic consideration of individual node characteristics and network heterogeneity	Yes	No	No
Message loss scenarios	Failure of multiple nodes	Failure of a single node	Failure of a single node
Adjustment to node failures	Activation of backup links, restoration of regular links	Local restoration of subscriptions necessary	(Insufficient information available)

Table 2. System comparison.

Summary

- Concepts
 - Multi-ring topology
 - Backup link
 - Implicit routing
 - QoS support
- Limitations
 - Complexity
 - Maintenance overhead

Discussion

- Explicit grouping
 - Interest-based
 - Publish/subscribe model
 - Users-involved
- Implicit grouping
 - Shortcuts
 - Ranking (subjective)
 - Reputation (objective)
 - Transparency

P2P File-Sharing Products

- Napster
 - Centralized server
- Jigle, FileDonkey

 Web-based
- eDonkey, eMule, EzPeer, Kuro
 Explicit servers (peers)
- Kazaa, BearShare
 Implicit super-nodes
- Overnet
 - DHT-based

Features

- Friend list
- Multi-source download
- Professional content provider
- Firewall/NAT
- Reputation
- Streaming
- Private messaging
- Horde/Swarm

