Optimizing Tree Reconfiguration for Mobile Target Tracking in Sensor Networks

Wensheng Zhang and Guohong Cao

Department of Computer Science & Engineering The Pennsylvania State University

#### **IEEE INFOCOM 2004**

# Outline

- Introduction
- Preliminaries
- Optimizing Tree Reconfiguration schemes
  - Optimized Complete Reconf. (OCR)
  - Optimized Interception-Base Reconf. (OIR)
- Performance Evaluations
- Conclusions

- Advances in micro-electro-mechanics and wireless communication, we can distribute a huge number of sensor nodes over a vast field to obtain sensing data.
- Use redundant nodes to deal with failures and obtain high-precision sensing data.

### Mobile Target Tracking

- The sensor nodes surrounding the moving target should promptly detect the target
- Aggregate their sensing data to generate robust and reliable sensing reports in an energy-efficient way.
- Node involved in the collaboration may change over time.

- Dynamic Convoy Tree-based Collaboration (DCTC) [1]
  - A framework for mobile target tracking
  - Relies on a tree structure called *convoy* tree, which includes sensor nodes around the moving target
  - The tree dynamically evolves by adding some nodes and pruning some nodes as the target moves.

- Dynamic Convoy Tree-based
  Collaboration (DCTC) [1]
  - Constructing the convoy tree
  - Collecting sensing data via the tree
  - Tree expansion and pruning
  - Tree reconfiguration



## Preliminaries

#### Assumptions

- Sensor nodes are stationary and have a fix communication range  $(d_c)$ .
- Each nodes is aware of its own location.
- Use GAF protocol to save energy.
  - Sensor network is divided into grids
  - When there is no target close to a grid, only the <u>grid head</u> is awake, and other nodes only need to wake up periodically.

# Preliminaries

#### Assumptions of the network model

- Nodes are densely and uniformly deployed with density .
- The number of hops between two nodes is proportional to the geographic distance between them.( hop  $(A, B)=d_{A,B}/d_c$ )
- The target keeps its velocity for a relatively long time before any change.

# Preliminaries

- The target (locate at  $L_t$ ) with a certain monitoring radius  $d_s$  form a monitoring region.
- All nodes involve in the monitoring region (S<sub>t</sub>) are required to participate in detecting the target.

# Optimizing Tree Reconfiguration schemes

#### Root replacement rules

- The current root (R) predicts the location of the target at the next data collection time( $L_{t+1}$ ), by using certain movement prediction techniques.
- When the distance between R and  $L_{t+1}$  is larger than a threshold  $d_r (d_r > d_c)$ , R is replaced by a node closest to  $L_{t+1}$ .

# Optimizing Tree Reconfiguration schemes

- It is important to select an appropriate value for d<sub>r</sub>.
- According to the root replacement rule, root replacement is performed every k(v) = d<sub>r</sub>/v time units.

# Optimizing Tree Reconfiguration schemes

We can compute the average energy consumption per time unit by

$$\overline{E}(k(v), v) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\lceil k(v) \rceil - 1} \overline{E^d}(i \ast v) + \overline{E^t}(k(v) \ast v)}{k(v)}$$
(1)

Therefore, to minimize E(k(v), v), we can compute k(v)

$$k(v) = \arg_{i \in (0, \frac{d_s}{v})} \min\{\overline{E}(i, v)\}$$
(2)

# Optimized Complete Reconfiguration (OCR)

- Use root replacement rule to replace the root.
- New root (R`) broadcast a message reconf(R,R`)
- Grid head need to rebroadcast the message.
- Other nodes use attach/detach operations to add/leave a tree.
- Continues until all nodes within the monitoring region have received the message.



(a) Before complete reconfiguration



(b) After complete reconfiguration

# Optimized Complete Reconfiguration (OCR)

data collection overhead

$$\overline{E^{d}}(u) = \frac{2*\rho*e*s_{d}}{d_{c}}*\int_{-d_{s}}^{d_{s}}\int_{0}^{\sqrt{d_{s}^{2}-x^{2}}}\sqrt{(x+u)^{2}+y^{2}}dydx$$

Tree reconfiguration overhead:

 $\overline{E^t}(k(v)) = 2 * \rho * s_c * \pi d_s^2$ 

- OIR only reconfigures a small part of the tree.
- Let the coordinate of R and R` be (x<sub>0</sub>, 0) (x<sub>1</sub>, 0)
- A node P(x, y) is involved in the reconfiguration if and only if it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} d_{P,L_t} \le d_s, \\ x_0 - d_c \le x \le x_1 + d_c \end{cases}$$

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

(a) The process of interception-based reconfiguration

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

(b) After interception-based reconfiguration

- Estimate data collection overhead by three part.
  - Nodes between line  $I_0$ Ο and I<sub>1</sub>
  - Nodes on the left side  $\bigcirc$ of line I<sub>1</sub>
  - Nodes on the right side Fig. 8. Analyzing  $\overline{E^{d}}(u)$  of the interception-based reconfiguration scheme 0 of line  $I_0$

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Nodes on the left side of line I<sub>1</sub>
  - Data collection overhead
    is ( \*e\*s<sub>d</sub>\*A<sub>1</sub>) where

$$A_1 = \int_{-d_s}^{-u-d_r-d_c} \int_{-\sqrt{d_s^2 - x^2}}^{\sqrt{d_s^2 - x^2}} c_1 * \frac{d_{P_1,R}}{d_c} \, dy \, dx$$

• C<sub>1</sub> can be compute by  $c_1 = \frac{hop(P_1, R)}{d_{P_1, R}/d_c}$ where  $hop(P_1, R) = \frac{d_{P_1, Q_n} + \sum_{i=2}^{i=n} d_{Q_i, Q_{i-1}} + d_{Q_1, R}}{d_c}$ 

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. 9. The principle of estimating parameter c1

I-Tsung Shen, MNet Lab

Data collection overhead

$$\overline{E^{d}}(u) = \rho * e * s_{d} * (A_{0} + A_{1} + A_{2})$$

Tree reconfiguration overhead

$$\overline{E^t}(d_r) = 2 * \rho * s_c \int_{-u-d_r-d_c}^{d_c} \sqrt{d_s^2 - x^2} \, dx$$

# **Performance Evaluations**

#### TABLE I

NON-OPTIMIZED RECONFIGURATION SCHEMES

Name	Characteristics
Aggressive Complete Recon-	A complete reconfiguration is initiated
figuration (ACR)	when $d_{R,L_{t+1}} \ge d_c$
Conservative Complete Re-	A complete reconfiguration is initiated
configuration (CCR)	when $d_{R,L_{t+1}} \ge d_s$
Aggressive Interception-based	An interception-based reconfiguration
Reconfiguration (AIR)	is initiated when $d_{R,L_{t+1}} \ge d_c$
Conservative Interception-	An interception-based reconfiguration
based Reconfiguration (CIR)	is initiated when $d_{R,L_{t+1}} \ge d_s$

#### TABLE II

#### SIMULATION PARAMETERS

Parameter	Values
field size $(m^2)$	400.0 * 400.0
number of nodes	6000
communication range $(m)$ : $d_c$	20.0
monitoring radius $(m)$ : $d_s$	30.0, 60.0
size of data report (byte): $s_d$	10,50
size of control message (byte): $d_c$	10
maximum velocity of a mobile target $(m/s)$ : $v_m$	[1.0, 20.0]
probability that the mobile target keeps the same	[0.6, 0.9]
velocity: $p_k$	
data collection interval (s)	1.0

2004/4/8

### **Performance Evaluations**

#### Energy consumption

 OIR outperforms OCR when s<sub>d</sub>/s<sub>c</sub> and d<sub>s</sub>/d<sub>c</sub> are small, velocity is high.

d\_=10m, d\_=30m, s\_1=10bytes, s\_=10bytes 0.4AIR Energy consumption (W) 0.35 ACR CIR CCR 0.3 OIR OCR 0.25 0.20.15 0.114 16 18 0 2 8 10 12 20 4 6 vm (m/s) dc=10m, dc=30m, sd=50bytes, sc=10bytes 1.5 AIR 1.4Energy consumption (W) ACR 1.3 CIR 1.2CCR OIR 1.1OCR 1 0.9 0.80.70.60.5 10 12 14 16 18 200 v<sub>m</sub> (m/s)

# Conclusion

- This paper proposed two optimizing tree reconfiguration methods.
  - o OCR
  - o OIR
- Not so practical?
- Can look for more detail method
  - Tree construction
  - Support higher speed system
  - Etc..